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1. **Scope**

1.1 **Purpose**

The purpose of this specification is to establish a standard measure of Maintainability based on detecting violations of good architectural and coding practices that could result in unreliable operation such as outages, data corruption, and lengthy recovery from system failures. Establishing a standard for this measure is important because such measures are being used in outsourcing and system development contracts without having an approved international standard to reference. They are also critical to other software-intensive OMG initiatives such as The Internet of Things. The Consortium for IT Software Quality (CISQ) was formed as a special interest group of OMG to create specifications for automating standard measures of software quality attributes and submit them to OMG for approval.

1.2 **CISQ Background**

This specification defines a method for automating the measurement of Maintainability from violations of architectural and coding practice that affect an application’s understandability and ease of change. The violations included in the CISQ measure were selected from a large set of candidate violations related to Maintainability issues. The final set of violations were chosen through a voting process among CISQ member organizations that resulted in a limited set of violations that member organizations believed were sufficiently severe that they had to be remediated. This process will be described more fully in a subsequent sub-clause.

1.3 **Overview of Software Quality Characteristic Measurement**

Measurement of the internal or structural quality aspects of software has a long history in software engineering (Curtis, 1980). Software quality characteristics are increasingly being incorporated into development and outsourcing contracts as the equivalent of service level agreements. That is, target thresholds based on quality characteristic measures are being set in contracts for delivered software. Currently there are no standards for most of the software quality characteristic measures being used in contracts. ISO/IEC 25023 purports to address these measures, but only provides measures of external behavior and does not define measures that can be developed from source code during development. Consequently, providers are subject to different interpretations and calculations of common quality characteristics in each contract. This specification addresses one aspect of this problem by providing a specification for measuring one quality characteristic, Maintainability, from the source code. This specification is one of four specifying source code level measures of quality characteristics. The other three specify quality characteristic measures for Security, Performance Efficiency, and Maintainability.

**Violations of Good Architectural and Coding Practice**—The most recent advance in measuring the structural quality of software is based on the analysis and measurement of violations of good architectural and coding practice that can be detected by statically analyzing the source code. The CWE/SANS 25 and OWASP Top Ten lists of security weaknesses are examples of this approach. These lists are drawn from the Common Weakness Enumeration (CWE) repository maintained by MITRE Corporation. CWE contains
descriptions of over 800 weaknesses that represent violations of good architectural and coding practice in software that can be exploited to gain unauthorized entry into a system. The Software Assurance community has been a leader in this area of measurement by championing the detection of code weaknesses as a way of improving one aspect of software quality—software security.

Unfortunately there are no equivalent repositories of weaknesses for Reliability, Performance Efficiency, or Maintainability. Knowledge of these weaknesses is spread across software engineering textbooks, expert blogs, and information sharing sites such as github. The CISQ measure for Maintainability can fill the void for a consensus body of knowledge about the most egregious Maintainability problems that should be detected and remediated in source code. Currently, no standards or guidelines have been developed for calculating component or application-level Maintainability measures that aggregate weaknesses detected through static code analysis into application-level Maintainability measures. CISQ will be providing recommendations for these aggregation and scaling techniques. However, these techniques are not part of this standard since different measurement objectives are best served by different scoring techniques.

Using violations of good architectural and coding practices in software quality metrics presents several challenges for establishing baselines. Growth in the number of unique violations to be detected could continually raise the bar for measuring quality, reducing the validity of baseline comparisons. Further, different vendors will detect different sets of violations, making comparisons difficult across commercial software quality measurement offerings. One solution to this problem is to create a stable list of violations that are used for computing a baseline for each quality characteristic. The Automated Source Code Maintainability Measure was developed by a team of industry experts to form the basis for a stable baseline measure.

1.4 Development of the Automated Source Code Maintainability Measure

The original 24 CISQ member companies provided experts to working groups whose charter was to define CISQ measures. Violations of good architectural and coding practice that a high probability of causing Maintainability problems were selected by an international team of experts drawn from the 24 organizations that joined CISQ in 2010. These organizations included IT departments in Fortune 200 companies, system integrators/outsourcers, and vendors that provide quality-related products and services to the IT market. The experts met several times per year for two years in the US, France, and India to develop a broad list of candidate Maintainability weaknesses and then pare it down to a set they felt had to be remediated to avoid serious operational problems.

The work group began by defining Maintainability issues, quality rules for avoiding these issues, and measures based on counting violations of these rules. They developed lists of issues and quality rules by drawing information from company defect logs, their career experience in different environments, and industry sources such as books and blogs. In order to reduce the work group’s initial list to a critical set of Maintainability violations, work group members individually evaluated the severity of each violation. High severity violations were judged to be those that must be fixed in a future release because of their operational risk or cost impact. The work group went through several rounds of eliminating lower severity
violations and re-rating the severity of remaining violations until a final list was established as the quality measure elements to be incorporated into this specification.

1.5 Structure of the Automated Source Code Maintainability Measure

ISO/IEC 25010 defines a quality characteristic as being composed from several quality sub-characteristics. This framework for software product quality is presented in Figure 1 for the eight quality characteristics presented in 25010. The quality characteristics and their sub-characteristics selected for source code measurement by CISQ are indicated in blue.

Figure 1. Software Quality Characteristics from ISO/IEC 25010 with CISQ focal areas highlighted.

ISO/IEC 25023 establishes a framework of software quality characteristic measures wherein each quality sub-characteristic consists of a collection of quality attributes that can be quantified as quality measure elements. A quality measure element quantifies a unitary measurable attribute of software, such as the violation of a quality rule. Figure 2 presents an example of the ISO/IEC 25023 quality measurement framework using a partial decomposition for the Automated Source Code Maintainability Measure.

The non-normative portion of this specification begins by listing the Maintainability issues that can plague software developed with poor architectural and coding practices. Quality rules written as architectural or coding practices are conventions that avoided the problem described in the Maintainability issue. These quality rules were then transformed into software quality measure elements by counting violations of these architectural and coding practices and conventions.

The normative portion of this specification represents each quality measure element developed from a Maintainability rule using the Structured Patterns Meta-model Standard (SPMS). The code-based elements in these patterns are represented using the Knowledge Discovery Meta-model (KDM). The calculation of the Automated Source Code Maintainability Measure from its quality measure elements is
then represented in the Structured Metrics Meta-model (SMM). This calculation is presented as the simple sum of quality measure elements without being adjusted by a weighting scheme.

ISO 25010 Measure Structure

Software Quality Characteristics

Quality Sub-characteristics

Software Quality Attributes

Quality Measure Elements

CISQ Maintainability Measure Structure

Maintainability

Modularity, Reusability, Analyzability, Changeability, Modification Stability, Testability, Compliance

Quality Rules

Quality Rule Violations

- Hard-coded values
- Excessive coupling
- Circular dependencies
- Copy-Paste
- Complex control flow
- Etc.

Figure 2. ISO/IEC 25010 Framework for Software Quality Characteristics Measurement

There are several weighting schemes that can be applied in aggregating violation counts into structural quality measures. The most effective weighting often depends on the measure’s use such as assessing operational risk or estimating maintenance costs. The quality measure elements included in this specification were considered to be severe violations of secure architectural and coding practices that would need to be remediated. Therefore, weightings based on severity would add little useful information to the measure since the variance among weights would be small. In order to support benchmarking among applications, this specification includes a measure of the violation density. This measure is created by dividing the total number of violations detected by a count of Automated Function Points (Object Management Group, 2014).

1.6 Using and Improving This Measure

The Automated Source Code Maintainability Measure is a correlated measure rather than an absolute measure. That is, since it does not measure all possible Maintainability-related weaknesses it does not provide an absolute measure of Maintainability. However, since it includes counts of what industry experts considered high severity Maintainability weaknesses, it provides a strong indicator of Maintainability that will be highly correlated with the absolute Maintainability of a software system and with the probability that it can experience outages, data corruption, and related problems.
Since the impact and frequency of specific violations in the Automated Source Code Maintainability Measure could change over time, this approach allows specific violations to be included, excluded, amplified, or diminished over time in order to support the most effective benchmarking, diagnostic, and predictive use. This specification will be adjusted through controlled OMG specification revision processes to reflect changes in Maintainability engineering while retaining the ability to compare baselines. Vendors of static analysis and measurement technology can compute this standard baseline measure, as well as their own extended measures that include other Maintainability weaknesses not included as measure elements in this specification.
2. **Conformance**

Implementations of this specification should be able to demonstrate the following attributes in order to claim conformance—automated, objective, transparent, and verifiable.

- **Automated**—The analysis of the source code and the actual counting must be fully automated. The initial inputs required to prepare the source code for analysis include the source code of the application, the artifacts and information needed to configure the application for operation, and any available description of the architectural layers in the application.

- **Objective**—After the source code has been prepared for analysis using the information provided as inputs, the analysis, calculation, and presentation of results must not require further human intervention. The analysis and calculation must be able to repeatedly produce the same results and outputs on the same body of software.

- **Transparent**—Implementations that conform to this specification must clearly list all software source code (including versions), non-source code artifacts, and other information used to prepare the source code for submission to the analysis.

- **Verifiable**—Compliance with this specification requires that an implementation state the assumptions/heuristics it uses with sufficient detail so that the calculations may be independently verified by third parties. In addition, all inputs used are required to be clearly described and itemized so that they can be audited by a third party.

3. **Normative References**

3.1 **Normative**

The following normative documents contain provisions, which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this specification. For dated references, subsequent amendments to, or revisions of any of these publications do not apply.

- Structured Patterns Meta-model Standard, admtf/14-02-01
- Knowledge Discovery Meta-model, version 1.3 (KDM), formal/2011-08-04
- Structured Metrics Meta-model, version 1.0 (SMM), formal/2012-01-05
- MOF/XMI Mapping, version 2.4.1 (XMI), formal/2011-08-09
- Automated Function Points (AFP), formal/2014-01-03
- ISO/IEC 25010 Systems and software engineering – System and software product Quality Requirements and Evaluation (SQuaRE) – System and software quality models
4. Terms and Definitions

Automated Function Points—a specification for automating the counting of Function Points that mirrors as closely as possible the counting guidelines of the International Function Point User Group. (OMG, formal 2014-01-03)

Cyclomatic Complexity—a measure of control flow complexity developed by Thomas McCabe based on a graph-theoretic analysis that reduces the control flow of a computer program to a set of edges, vertices, and their attributes that can be quantified. (McCabe, 1976)

Internal Software Quality—the degree to which a set of static attributes of a software product satisfy stated and implied needs for the software product to be used under specified conditions. This will be referred to as software structural quality, or simply structural quality in this specification. (ISO/IEC 25010)

Maintainability—degree of effectiveness or efficiency with which a product or system can be modified by the intended maintainers. (ISO/IEC 25010)

Quality Measure Element—a measure defined in terms of a software quality attribute and the measurement method for quantifying it, including optionally the transformation by a mathematical function. (ISO/IEC 25010)

Software Product—a set of computer programs, procedures, and possibly associated documentation and data. (ISO/IEC 25010)

Software Maintainability—degree of effectiveness or efficiency with which a software product or system can be modified by the intended maintainers. (ISO/IEC 25010)

Software Maintainability Measure Element—a measure defined in terms of a quality attribute of software that affects its maintainability and the measurement method for quantifying it, including optionally the transformation by a mathematical function. (adapted from ISO/IEC 25023)

Software Product Quality Model—a model that categorizes product quality properties into eight characteristics (functional suitability, reliability, performance efficiency, usability, security, compatibility, maintainability and portability). Each characteristic is composed of a set of related sub-characteristics. (ISO/IEC 25010)

Software Quality—degree to which a software product satisfies stated and implied needs when used under specified conditions. (ISO/IEC 25010)

Software Quality Attribute—an inherent property or characteristic of software that can be distinguished quantitatively or qualitatively by human or automated means. (derived from ISO/IEC 25010)
Software Quality Characteristic—a category of software quality attributes that bears on software quality. (ISO/IEC 25010)

Software Quality Characteristic Measure—a software quality measure derived from measuring the attributes related to a specific software quality characteristic.

Software Quality Issue—architectural or coding practices that are known to cause problems in software development, maintenance, or operations and for which software quality rules can be defined that help avoid problems created by the issue.

Software Quality Measure—a measure that is defined as a measurement function of two or more values of software quality measure elements. (ISO/IEC 25010)

Software Quality Measurement—(verb) a set of operations having the object of determining a value of a software quality measure. (ISO/IEC 25010)

Software Quality Model—a defined set of software characteristics, and of relationships between them, which provides a framework for specifying software quality requirements and evaluating the quality of a software product. (derived from ISO/IEC 25010)

Software Quality Property—measurable component of software quality. (derived from ISO/IEC 25010)

Software Quality Rule—an architectural or coding practice or convention that represents good software engineering practice and avoids problems in software development, maintenance, or operations. Violations of these quality rules produces software anti-patterns.

Software Quality Sub-characteristic—a sub-category of a software quality characteristic to which software quality attributes and their software quality measure elements are conceptually related. (derived from ISO/IEC 25010)

Structural Quality—the degree to which a set of static attributes of a software product satisfy stated and implied needs for the software product to be used under specified conditions—a component of software quality. This concept is referred to as internal software quality in ISO/IEC 25010.

Violation—a pattern or structure in the code that is inconsistent with good architectural and coding practices and can lead to problems in operation or maintenance.

5. Symbols (and Abbreviated Terms)

CISQ — Consortium for IT Software Quality
6. Additional Information (Informative)

6.1 Software Product Inputs

The following inputs are needed by static code analyzers in order to interpret violations of the software quality rules that would be included in individual software quality measure elements.

- The entire source code for the application being analyzed
- All materials and information required to prepare the application for production
- A description of the architecture and layer boundaries of the application, including an assignment of modules to layers

Static code analyzers will also need a list of the violations that constitute each quality element in the Automated Source Code Maintainability Measure.

6.2 Input Values for Thresholds in Measure Elements

Several of the weaknesses in the Automated Source Code Maintainability measure detect violations of good architectural or coding practice based on threshold values for a construct being exceeded. Table 1 lists the default threshold value used in specifying this measure. In using this measure, threshold values can be adjusted to different levels. However, when the threshold values are adjusted the results cannot be compared or benchmarked to data from other analyses that used the default values. In such cases it may be good to compute values for both the default and adjusted values.

Table 1. Input Values for Thresholds in Measure Elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KDM Element in a Measure Element</th>
<th>Threshold Value for a Measure Element</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;ArchitectureModel&gt; defining the application's architectural blueprint.</td>
<td>The default value for &lt;NumberOfHorizontalLayerThresholdMinimalValue&gt; is 4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;NumberOfHorizontalLayerThresholdMinimalValue&gt; minimal value</td>
<td>The default value for &lt;NumberOfHorizontalLayerThresholdMaximalValue&gt; is 8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;NumberOfHorizontalLayerThresholdMaximalValue&gt; maximal value.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;NumberOfInheritenceLevelsThresholdValue&gt; maximum value of number of</td>
<td>The default value for &lt;NumberOfInheritenceLevelsThresholdValue&gt; is 7.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>parent class units</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;NumberOfChildrenThresholdValue&gt; maximum value of number of child</td>
<td>The default value for &lt;NumberOfChildrenThresholdValue&gt; is 10.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>classes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.3 Automated Source Code Maintainability Measure Elements

The violations of good architectural and coding practice incorporated into the Automated Source Code Maintainability Measure are listed in Table 2. The pattern label appears in the first column. The consequences that can be caused by the pattern are listed in column 2. A coding or architectural rule that helps avoid the pattern are listed as objectives in column 3. Finally, a textual description of the pattern that constitutes the attribute underlying the measure element is provided in column 4.

Table 2. Maintainability Patterns, Consequences, Objectives, and Maintainability Measure Elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maintainability Pattern</th>
<th>Consequence</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure Element</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASCMM-MNT-1: Control Flow Transfer Control Element outside Switch Block</td>
<td>Software that does not follow the principles of structured programming degrades comprehensibility</td>
<td>Avoid the unconditional transfer of control flow outside of switch structures</td>
<td>Number of instances where an unconditional transfer of control is located outside the branching based on the value of a storable element</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| ASCMM-MNT-2: Class Element Excessive Inheritance of Class Elements with Concrete Implementations | Software that does not follow the principles of reuse requires more maintenance effort in | Avoid the multiple inheritance of classes with concrete implementations | Number of instances where the number of inheritances of concrete classes of a
<p>| ASCMM-MNT-3: Storable and Member Data Element Initialization with Hard-Coded Literals | Software featuring hard-coded pieces of information within its own code reduces adaptability | Avoid hard-coded non-trivial values in the code | Number of instances where a literal value element is used to initialize a storable data element or member data element via a ‘Write’ action; exceptions are simple integers and static constant storable or member data elements |
| ASCMM-MNT-4: Callable and Method Control Element Number of Outward Calls | Software that does not follow the principles of modularity causes excessive propagation of modification impacts | Avoid overly complex outward dependencies | Number of instances where a named callable control element or method control element has a Fan-Out value that is too large, that is, its number of references to other objects within the application exceeds a threshold value (the application determines the scope of the search for the referenced objects). Default threshold value for the number of references to other objects within the application is 5 |
| ASCMM-MNT-5: Loop Value Update within the Loop | Software that does not follow the principles of modularity causes excessive propagation of modification impacts | Avoid overly complex behaviors of loop indices | Number of instances where a value of a local storable data element used in the condition of the loop control flow is updated within the |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASCMM-MNT-6: Commented-out Code Element Excessive Volume</th>
<th>Software that does contain commented-out code that can mistakenly be considered as active code and that can hide a lack of comments causes excessive modification effort</th>
<th>Avoid code blocks found in comments</th>
<th>Number of instances where a named callable control element or method control element contains too many commented-out code items compared to a threshold that is based on the percentage of instructions in the callable control element or method control element that are in comments. Default threshold value for the percentage of commented out instructions is 2%.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASCMM-MNT-7: Inter-Module Dependency Cycles</td>
<td>Software that does not follow the principles of modularity causes excessive propagation of modification impacts</td>
<td>Avoid circular dependencies between modules</td>
<td>Number of instances where a module has references that cycle back to itself via the module callable or data relations cycle (for example, with JAVA this pattern means cycles between packages)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASCMM-MNT-8: Source Element Excessive Size</td>
<td>Software that does not follow the principles of modularity causes excessive propagation of modification impacts</td>
<td>Avoid over-sizing of software elements</td>
<td>Number of instances where a file has too many lines of code based on a threshold value. The default threshold value for number of lines of code is 1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASCMM-MNT-9: Horizontal Layer Excessive Number</td>
<td>Software that does not follow the principles of layered architectures (such as strict partitioning and strict</td>
<td>Avoid the existence of too many or too few horizontal layers</td>
<td>Number of instances where a model of the architectural layers of an application contains too many or too few</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASCMM-MNT-10: Named Callable and Method Control Element Multi-Layer Span</td>
<td>Software that does not follow the principles of layered architectures (such as strict partitioning and strict call hierarchy) decreases comprehensibility as well as simplicity to evolve the code</td>
<td>Avoid unclear allocation of software elements to a single architectural layer</td>
<td>Number of instances where a callable or method control element is part of two architectural layers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASCMM-MNT-11: Callable and Method Control Element Excessive Cyclomatic Complexity Value</td>
<td>Software that does not follow the principles of structured programming degrades comprehensibility</td>
<td>Avoid overly complex control flow</td>
<td>Number of instances where a named callable control element or method control element has a control flow with a Cyclomatic Complexity number that exceeds a threshold value. Default threshold value for Cyclomatic Complexity is 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASCMM-MNT-12: Named Callable and Method Control Element with Layer-skipping Call</td>
<td>Software that does not follow the principles of layered architectures (such as strict partitioning and strict call hierarchy) decreases comprehensibility as well as simplicity to evolve the code</td>
<td>Avoid breaches of layered architecture principles due to layer-skipping references</td>
<td>Number of instances where a named callable or method control element from a higher horizontal layer directly calls a named callable or method control element in a lower horizontal layer that is not adjacent to the upper layer making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASCMM-MNT-13: Callable and Method Control Element</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excessive Number of Parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software that does not cap the number of parameters degrades comprehensibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoid over-parameterization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of instances where a named callable control element or method control element has a number of parameters in its signature that exceeds a threshold value. Default threshold value for the number of parameters is 7.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASCMM-MNT-17: Class Element Excessive Inheritance Level</td>
<td>Software that does not follow the principles of reuse requires more maintenance effort in order to propagate changes to all instances of duplicated code</td>
<td>Avoid overly complex object-oriented inheritance capabilities when dealing with the number of levels of inheritance of classes</td>
<td>Number of instances where the inheritance level of a class element (that is, the number of parent class units) exceeds a threshold value. The default threshold value for number of inheritance levels is 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASCMM-MNT-18: Class Element Excessive Number of Children</td>
<td>Software that does not follow the principles of reuse requires more maintenance effort in order to propagate changes to all instances of duplicated code</td>
<td>Avoid overly complex object-oriented inheritance capabilities when dealing with the number of direct children of classes</td>
<td>Number of instances where the number of children of a class element (that is, its number of child classes) exceeds a threshold value. The default threshold value for number of children of a class element is 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASCMM-MNT-19: Named Callable and Method Control Element Excessive Similarity</td>
<td>Software that does not follow the principles of reuse requires more maintenance effort in order to propagate changes to all instances of duplicated code</td>
<td>Avoid software element redundancy</td>
<td>Number of instances where a named callable control element or method control element contains multiple computational objects that are identical to computational objects in another named callable or method control element in the application (the application determines the scope of the search for the second code item)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASCMM-MNT-20: Unreachable Named Callable or Method Control Element</td>
<td>Software that does not follow the principles of reuse requires more maintenance effort in</td>
<td>Avoid inactive code blocks that can mistakenly be considered as active</td>
<td>Number of instances where a named callable control element or method</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
order to propagate changes to all instances of duplicated code

and that can hide the active code in noise

control element is unreferenced by any other code item in the application (the application determines the scope of the search for code items that could call a callable or method control element)
7. IPMSS Representation of the Quality Measure Elements (Normative)

7.1 Introduction
This chapter displays in a human readable format the content of the machine readable XMI format file attached to the current specification. The content of the machine readable XMI format file is the representations of the CISQ Quality Measure Elements:

- according to the Implementation Patterns Metamodel for Software Systems (IPMSS)
- and relating to the Knowledge Discovery Meta-Model (KDM) within their description as frequently as possible, so as to be as generic as possible yet as accurate as possible.

IPMSS
More specifically, the machine readable XMI format file attached to the current specification uses the IPMSS Definitions Classes:

- **PatternDefinition** (ipmss:PatternDefinition): the pattern specification. In the context of this document, each CISQ Quality Measure Element is basically the count of occurrences of the described patterns.
- **Role** (ipmss:Role): “A pattern is informally defined as a set of relationships between a set of entities. Roles describe the set of entities within a pattern, between which those relationships will be described. As such the Role is a required association in a PatternDefinition. [...] Semantically, a Role is a 'slot' that is required to be fulfilled for an instance of its parent PatternDefinition to exist.”
- **PatternSection** (ipmss:PatternSection): “A PatternSection is a free-form prose textual description of a portion of a PatternDefinition.” In the context of this document, there are 6 different PatternSections in use:
  - “Descriptor” to provide pattern signature, a visible interface of the pattern,
  - “Measure Element” to provide a human readable explanation of the measure,
  - “Description” to provide a human readable explanation of the pattern that is sought after, identifying “Roles” and KDM modeling information,
  - “Objective” to provide a human readable explanation of the intent to get rid of the occurrences of the pattern that is sought after,
  - “Consequence” to provide a human readable explanation of the issue the detection of the pattern is designed to solve,
  - “Input” to provide a human readable of the parameters that are needed to fine-tune the behavior of the pattern detection (e.g.: the target application architectural blueprint to comply with)
“Comment” to provide some additional information (until now, used to inform about situations where the same measure element is useful for another one of the categories)

As well as some of the IPMSS Relationships Classes:
- **MemberOf (ipmss:MemberOf):** “An InterpatternRelationship specialized to indicate inclusion in a Category”
- **Category (ipmss:Category):** “A Category is a simple grouping element for gathering related PatternDefinitions into clusters.” In the context of this document, the IPMSS Categories are used to represent the 4 CISQ Quality Characteristics:
  - “CISQ Reliability”,
  - “CISQ Security”,
  - “CISQ Performance Efficiency”,
  - And “CISQ Maintainability”.

### KDM

More specifically, the machine readable XMI format file attached to the current specification uses KDM entities in the “Description” section of the pattern definitions. Descriptions try to remain as generic yet accurate as possible so that the pattern can be applicable and applied to as many situations as possible: different technologies, different programming languages ...

This means:
1. The descriptions include information such as (code:MethodUnit), (action:Reads), (platform:ManagesResource), ... to identify the KDM entities the pattern definition involves
2. The descriptions only detail the salient aspects of the pattern as the specifics can be technology- or language-dependant

Although a fair knowledge of the KDM is highly recommended to read this chapter, here follows a “KDM primer” to help getting started via a translation table between layman wording (simple although not fully accurate) and KDM wording used in the current specification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layman wording</th>
<th>KDM wording</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>function, method, procedure, stored procedure, sub, routine, etc.</td>
<td>named callable control element (code:CallableUnit with code:CallableKind 'regular', 'external' or 'stored') or method control element (code:MethodUnit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>variable, field, member, etc.</td>
<td>storable data element (code:StorableUnit) or member data element (code:MemberUnit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>class</td>
<td>class element (code:StorableUnit with code:DataType code:ClassUnit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interface</td>
<td>interface element (code:StorableUnit of code:DataType code:InterfaceUnit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>method</td>
<td>method element (code:MethodUnit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>field, member</td>
<td>member element (code:MemberUnit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQL stored procedures</td>
<td>stored callable control elements (code:CallableUnit with code:CallableKind 'stored') in a data manager resource (platform:DataManager)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>return code value</td>
<td>value (code:Value) of the return parameter (code:ParameterUnit of code:ParameterKind 'return')</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exception</td>
<td>exception parameter (code:ParameterUnit with code:ParameterKind 'exception')</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>user input data flow</td>
<td>an external value is entered is entered into the application through the 'ReadsUI' user interface ReadsUI action (ui:ReadsUI), transformed throughout the application along the 'TransformationSequence' sequence (action:BlockUnit) composed of ActionElements with DataRelations relations (action:Reads, action:Writes, action:Addresses), some of which being part of named callable and method control elements (code:MethodUnit or code:CallableUnit with code:CallableKind 'regular', 'external' or 'stored'), and ultimately used as</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>execution path</td>
<td>execution path (action:BlockUnit composed of action:ActionElements with action:CallableRelations to code:ControlElements)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>libraries, etc.</td>
<td>deployed component (platform:DeployedComponent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDBMS</td>
<td>data manager resource (platform:DataManager)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>loop body</td>
<td>loop body block (action:BlockUnit starting as the action:TrueFlow of the loop action:GuardedFlow and ending with an action:Flow back to the loop action:GuardedFlow)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>loop condition</td>
<td>loop condition (action:BlockUnit used in the action:GuardedFlow)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>singleton</td>
<td>class element (code:StorableUnit with code:DataType code:ClassUnit) that can be used only once in the 'to' assoction of a Create action (action:Creates)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>checked</td>
<td>used by a check control element (code:ControlElement containing action:ActionElement with a kind from micro KDM list of comparison actions)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reading guide**
Each numbered sub-clause of this chapter

- Sub-clause 7.2 represents the IPMSS Category covered by the current specification
- Starting with number 7.3, each sub-clause represents a new IPMSS PatternDefinition member of this IPMSS Category

IPMSS PatternDefinition sub-sections are:
• Pattern category: the “ipmss:Category” category the pattern is related to through a “ipmss:MemberOf” relationship.
• Pattern sections: the list of "ipmss:PatternSection" sections from the pattern:
  o “Descriptor”,
  o “Description”,
  o “Objective”,
  o “Consequence”,
  o and, when applicable,
    ▪ “Input”,
    ▪ “Comment”.
• Pattern roles: the list of “ipmss:Role” roles used in the “Descriptor”, and “Description” sections above.

In the following sub-clauses,

• Data between square brackets (e.g.: [key CISQ Maintainability]) identifies “xmi:id” that are unique and used to reference entities. They are machine-generated to ensure unicity.
• Data between parenthesis (e.g.: (code:MethodUnit)) identifies KDM modeling information.
• Data between angle brackets (e.g.: <ControlElement>) identifies IPMSS Roles in Description and Input sections.

7.2 Category definition of CISQ Maintainability
[key ASCMM_Maintainability] CISQ Maintainability

7.3 Pattern definition of ASCMM-MNT-1: Control Flow Transfer Control Element outside Switch Block

Pattern Category
[key ASCMM-MNT-1-relatedPatts-maintainability] ASCMM_Maintainability

Pattern Sections

Objective
[key ASCMM-MNT-1-objective]
Avoid the unconditional transfer of control flow outside of switch structures

Consequence
[key ASCMM-MNT-1-consequence]
Software that does not follow the principles of structured programming degrades comprehensibility
Measure Element
[key ASCMM-MNT-1-measure-element]
Number of instances where an unconditional transfer of control is located outside the branching based on the value of a storable element

Description
[key ASCMM-MNT-1-description]
This pattern identifies situations where <ControlFlowJumpStatement> control flow (action:ActionElement with micro KDM kind such as 'Goto') unconditional transfer of control is located outside the <SwitchBranching> branching based on the value of a storable element (action:ActionElement with micro KDM kind 'Switch').

Descriptor
[key ASCMM-MNT-1-descriptor]

Variable input
(none applicable)

Comment
(none applicable)

List of Roles
[key ASCMM-MNT-1-roles-switchBranching] SwitchBranching

7.4 Pattern definition of ASCMM-MNT-2: Class Element Excessive Inheritance of Class Elements with Concrete Implementation

Pattern Category
[key ASCMM-MNT-2-relatedPatts-maintainability] ASCMM_Maintainability

Pattern Sections

Objective
[key ASCMM-MNT-2-objective]
Avoid the multiple inheritance of classes with concrete implementations

Consequence
[key ASCMM-MNT-2-consequence]
Software that does not follow the principles of reuse requires more maintenance effort in order to propagate changes to all instances of duplicated code
Measure Element
[key ASCMM-MNT-2-measure-element]
Number of instances where the number of inheritances of concrete classes of a class element is considered too large, based on exceeding a threshold value. Default value for the number of concrete class inheritances is 1.

Description
[key ASCMM-MNT-2-description]
This pattern identifies situations where the number of inheritance (code:Extends relation) of concrete classes (code:StorableUnit of code:DataType code:ClassUnit having code:MethodUnit with code:MethodKind different from 'abstract') of the <Class> class element (code:StorableUnit of code:DataType code:ClassUnit) is considered as too large, based on its <NumberOfConcreteClasseInheritences> number of inheritance of concrete classes which exceeds the <NumberOfConcreteClasseInheritencesThresholdValue> threshold value. Default value for <NumberOfConcreteClasseInheritencesThresholdValue> is 1.

Descriptor
[key ASCMM-MNT-2-descriptor]
ASCMM-MNT-2(Class: class,NumberOfConcreteClasseInheritences: numberOfConcreteClasseInheritences, NumberOfConcreteClasseInheritencesThresholdValue: numberOfConcreteClasseInheritencesThresholdValue)

Variable input
[key ASCMM-MNT-2-input]
<NumberOfConcreteClasseInheritencesThresholdValue> maximum value

Comment
(none applicable)

List of Roles
[key ASCMM-MNT-2-roles-class] Class
[key ASCMM-MNT-2-roles-numberOfConcreteClasseInheritences] NumberOfConcreteClasseInheritences
[key ASCMM-MNT-2-roles-numberOfConcreteClasseInheritencesThresholdValue] NumberOfConcreteClasseInheritencesThresholdValue

7.5 Pattern definition of ASCMM-MNT-3: Storable and Member Data Element Initialization with Hard-Coded Literals

Pattern Category
[key ASCMM-MNT-3-relatedPatts-maintainability] ASCMM_Maintainability
**Pattern Sections**

**Objective**

[key ASCMM-MNT-3-objective]

Avoid hard-coded non-trivial values in the code

**Consequence**

[key ASCMM-MNT-3-consequence]

Software featuring hard-coded pieces of information within its own code reduces adaptability

**Measure Element**

[key ASCMM-MNT-3-measure-element]

Number of instances where a literal value element is used to initialize a storable data element or member data element via a ‘Write’ action; exceptions are simple integers and static constant storable or member data elements

**Description**

[key ASCMM-MNT-3-description]

This pattern identifies situations where the <ValueElement> literal value element (code:Value) is used to initialize the storable data element (code:StorableUnit) or member data element (code:MemberUnit) via the <InitialisationStatement> Write action (action:Writes); exceptions are simple integers and static of constant storable or member data elements.

**Descriptor**

[key ASCMM-MNT-3-descriptor]

ASCMM-MNT-3(ValueElement: valueElement,InitialisationStatement: initialisationStatement)

**Variable input**

(none applicable)

**Comment**

(none applicable)

**List of Roles**

[key ASCMM-MNT-3-roles-valueElement] ValueElement

[key ASCMM-MNT-3-roles-initialisationStatement] InitialisationStatement

7.6 **Pattern definition of ASCMM-MNT-4: Callable and Method Control Element Number of Outward Calls**

**Pattern Category**

[key ASCMM-MNT-4-relatedPatts-maintainability] ASCMM_Maintainability
Pattern Sections

Objective
[key ASCMM-MNT-4-objective]
Avoid overly complex outward dependencies

Consequence
[key ASCMM-MNT-4-consequence]
Software that does not follow the principles of modularity causes excessive propagation of modification impacts

Measure Element
[key ASCMM-MNT-4-measure-element]
Number of instances where a named callable control element or method control element has a Fan-Out value that is too large, that is, its number of references to other objects within the application exceeds a threshold value (the application determines the scope of the search for the referenced objects).
Default threshold value for the number of references to other objects within the application is 5

Description
[key ASCMM-MNT-4-description]
This pattern identifies situations where the <ControlElement> named callable control element (code:CallableUnit with code:CallableKind 'regular', 'external' or 'stored') or method control element (code:MethodUnit) has a Fan-Out value (number of code:Calls relations where it is used in the 'from' association) that is too large, based on its <NumberOfOutwardReferences> number of references to other objects within the <Application> application which exceeds the <NumberOfOutwardReferencesThresholdValue> threshold value; the <Application> application determines the scope of the search for the referenced objects.
Default value for <NumberOfOutwardReferencesThresholdValue> threshold value is 5.

Descriptor
[key ASCMM-MNT-4-descrictor]
ASCMM-MNT-4(ControlElement: controlElement,NumberOfOutwardReferences: numberOfOutwardReferences,NumberOfOutwardReferencesThresholdValue: numberOfOutwardReferencesThresholdValue, Application: application)

Variable input
[key ASCMM-MNT-4-input]
<NumberOfOutwardReferencesThresholdValue> maximum value

Comment
(none applicable)

List of Roles
[key ASCMM-MNT-4-roles-controlElement] ControlElement
[key ASCMM-MNT-4-roles-numberOfOutwardReferences] NumberOfOutwardReferences
7.7 Pattern definition of ASCMM-MNT-5: Loop Value Update within the Loop

Pattern Category
[Key ASCMM-MNT-5-relatedPatts-maintainability] ASCMM_Maintainability

Pattern Sections

Objective
[Key ASCMM-MNT-5-objective]
Avoid overly complex behaviors of loop indices

Consequence
[Key ASCMM-MNT-5-consequence]
Software that does not follow the principles of modularity causes excessive propagation of modification impacts

Measure Element
[Key ASCMM-MNT-5-measure-element]
Number of instances where a value of a local storable data element used in the condition of the loop control flow is updated within the ‘Write’ action located in the loop body block

Description
[Key ASCMM-MNT-5-description]
This pattern identifies situations where the value (code:Value) of the <LoopElement> local storable data element (code:StorableUnit with code:StorableKind 'local') used in the condition of the loop control flow of code is updated within the <UpdateStatement> Write action (action:Writes) located in the loop body block (action:BlockUnit starting as the action:TrueFlow of the loop action:GuardedFlow and ending with an action:Flow back to the loop action:GuardedFlow)

Descriptor
[Key ASCMM-MNT-5-descriptor]
ASCMM-MNT-5(LoopElement: loopElement,UpdateStatement: updateStatement)

Variable input
(none applicable)

Comment
(none applicable)
List of Roles
[key ASCMM-MNT-5-roles-loopElement] LoopElement
[key ASCMM-MNT-5-roles-updateStatement] UpdateStatement

7.8 Pattern definition of ASCMM-MNT-6: Commented-out Code Element Excessive Volume

Pattern Category
[key ASCMM-MNT-6-relatedPatts-maintainability] ASCM_Maintainability

Pattern Sections

Objective
[key ASCMM-MNT-6-objective]
Avoid code blocks found in comments

Consequence
[key ASCMM-MNT-6-consequence]
Software that does contain commented-out code that can mistakenly be considered as active code and that can hide a lack of comments causes excessive modification effort

Measure Element
[key ASCMM-MNT-6-measure-element]
Number of instances where a named callable control element or method control element contains too many commented-out code items compared to a threshold that is based on the percentage of instructions in the callable control element or method control element that are in comments. Default threshold value for the percentage of commented-out instructions is 2%.

Description
[key ASCMM-MNT-6-description]
This pattern identifies situations where the <ControlElement> named callable control element (code:CallableUnit with code:CallableKind 'regular', 'external' or 'stored') or method control element (code:MethodUnit) contains too much commented-out code items (code:CodeItem), based on the <PercentageOfCommentedOutInstructions> percentage of instructions in the <ControlElement> callable or method control element that are in comments (code:CommentUnit) which exceeds the <PercentageOfCommentedOutInstructionsThresholdValue> threshold value. Default value for <PercentageOfCommentedOutInstructionsThresholdValue> threshold value is 2%.

Descriptor
[key ASCMM-MNT-6-descriptor]
ASCMM-MNT-6(ControlElement: controlElement,PercentageOfCommentedOutInstructions: percentageOfCommentedOutInstructions, PercentageOfCommentedOutInstructionsThresholdValue: percentageOfCommentedOutInstructionsThresholdValue)
Variable input
[key ASCMM-MNT-6-input]
<PercentageOfCommentedOutInstructionsThresholdValue> maximum value

Comment
(none applicable)

List of Roles
[key ASCMM-MNT-6-roles-controlElement] ControlElement
[key ASCMM-MNT-6-roles-percentageOfCommentedOutInstructions] PercentageOfCommentedOutInstructions
[key ASCMM-MNT-6-roles-percentageOfCommentedOutInstructionsThresholdValue] PercentageOfCommentedOutInstructionsThresholdValue

7.9 Pattern definition of ASCMM-MNT-7: Inter-Module Dependency Cycles

Pattern Category
[key ASCMM-MNT-7-relatedPatts-maintainability] ASCMM_Maintainability

Pattern Sections

Objective
[key ASCMM-MNT-7-objective]
Avoid circular dependencies between modules

Consequence
[key ASCMM-MNT-7-consequence]
Software that does not follow the principles of modularity causes excessive propagation of modification impacts

Measure Element
[key ASCMM-MNT-7-measure-element]
Number of instances where a module has references that cycle back to itself via the module callable or data relations cycle (for example, with JAVA this pattern means cycles between packages)

Description
[key ASCMM-MNT-7-description]
This pattern identifies situations where the <Module> module (code:Module) has references that cycle back to itself via the <ModuleDependencyCycle> module callable or data relations cycle (action:BlockUnit composed of action:CallableActions or action:DataActions). As an example, with JAVA, this pattern means cycles between packages (code:Package).

Descriptor
[key ASCMM-MNT-7-descriptor]
Variable input

(none applicable)

Comment

[key ASCMM-MNT-7-comment] Measure element contributes to Maintainability and Reliability

List of Roles

[key ASCMM-MNT-7-roles-module] Module
[key ASCMM-MNT-7-roles-moduleDependencyCycle] ModuleDependencyCycle

7.10 Pattern definition of ASCMM-MNT-8: Source Element Excessive Size

Pattern Category

[key ASCMM-MNT-8-relatedPatts-maintainability] ASCMM_Maintainability

Pattern Sections

Objective

[key ASCMM-MNT-8-objective]

Avoid over-sizing of software elements

Consequence

[key ASCMM-MNT-8-consequence]

Software that does not follow the principles of modularity causes excessive propagation of modification impacts

Measure Element

[key ASCMM-MNT-8-measure-element]

Number of instances where a file has too many lines of code based on a threshold value. The default threshold value for number of lines of code is 1000

Description

[key ASCMM-MNT-8-description]

This pattern identifies situations where the <File> file (source:SourceFile) has too many lines of code, based on its <NumberOfLinesOfCode> number of lines of code which exceeds the <NumberOfLinesOfCodeThresholdValue> threshold value. The default value for <NumberOfLinesOfCodeThresholdValue> is 1000.

Descriptor

[key ASCMM-MNT-8-descriptor]

ASCMM-MNT-8(File: file,NumberOfLinesOfCode: numberOfLinesOfCode, NumberOfLinesOfCodeThresholdValue: numberOfLinesOfCodeThresholdValue)
Variable input
[key ASCMM-MNT-8-input]
<NumberOfLinesOfCodeThresholdValue> maximum value

Comment
(none applicable)

List of Roles
[key ASCMM-MNT-8-roles-file] File
[key ASCMM-MNT-8-roles-numberOfLinesOfCode] NumberOfLinesOfCode
[key ASCMM-MNT-8-roles-numberOfLinesOfCodeThresholdValue]
NumberOfLinesOfCodeThresholdValue

7.11 Pattern definition of ASCMM-MNT-9: Horizontal Layer Excessive Number

Pattern Category
[key ASCMM-MNT-9-relatedPatts-maintainability] ASCMM_Maintainability

Pattern Sections

Objective
[key ASCMM-MNT-9-objective]
Avoid the existence of too many or too few horizontal layers

Consequence
[key ASCMM-MNT-9-consequence]
Software that does not follow the principles of layered architectures (such as strict partitioning and strict call hierarchy) decreases comprehensibility as well as simplicity to evolve the code

Measure Element
[key ASCMM-MNT-9-measure-element]
Number of instances where a model of the architectural layers of an application contains too many or too few horizontal layers (excluding the vertical utility layers) based on comparison to a threshold value. The default value for the minimal number of horizontal layers is 4, and the default value for maximal number of horizontal layers is 8.

Description
[key ASCMM-MNT-9-description]
This pattern identifies situations where the <ArchitectureModel> model of the architectural layers contains too many or too few horizontal layers (structure:Layer), based on its <NumberOfHorizontalLayers> number of horizontal layers (that is, excluding the vertical utility layers) that is smaller than the <NumberOfHorizontalLayerThresholdMinimalValue> threshold value or greater than the <NumberOfHorizontalLayerThresholdMaximalValue> threshold value. The default value for <NumberOfHorizontalLayerThresholdMinimalValue> is 4.
The default value for \(<\text{NumberOfHorizontalLayerThresholdMaximalValue}>\) is 8.

**Descriptor**

[key ASCMM-MNT-9-descriptor]

ASCMM-MNT-9(NumberOfHorizontalLayers:
numberOfHorizontalLayers,NumberOfHorizontalLayerThresholdMinimalValue:
numberOfHorizontalLayerThresholdMinimalValue, NumberOfHorizontalLayerThresholdMaximalValue:
numberOfHorizontalLayerThresholdMaximalValue, ArchitectureModel: architectureModel)

**Variable input**

[key ASCMM-MNT-9-input]

<ArchitectureModel> defining the application's architectural blueprint.
<NumberOfHorizontalLayerThresholdMinimalValue> minimal value
<NumberOfHorizontalLayerThresholdMaximalValue> maximal value.

**Comment**

(none applicable)

**List of Roles**

[key ASCMM-MNT-9-roles numberOfRows] NumberOfHorizontalLayers
[key ASCMM-MNT-9-roles-numberOfHorizontalLayerThresholdMinimalValue]
NumberOfHorizontalLayerThresholdMinimalValue
[key ASCMM-MNT-9-roles-numberOfHorizontalLayerThresholdMaximalValue]
NumberOfHorizontalLayerThresholdMaximalValue
[key ASCMM-MNT-9-roles-architectureModel] ArchitectureModel

7.12 Pattern definition of ASCMM-MNT-10: Named Callable and Method Control Element Multi-Layer Span

**Pattern Category**

[key ASCMM-MNT-10-relatedPatts-maintainability] ASCMM_Maintainability

**Pattern Sections**

**Objective**

[key ASCMM-MNT-10-objective]

Avoid unclear allocation of software elements to a single architectural layer

**Consequence**

[key ASCMM-MNT-10-consequence]

Software that does not follow the principles of layered architectures (such as strict partitioning and strict call hierarchy) decreases comprehensibility as well as simplicity to evolve the code
**Measure Element**
[key ASCMM-MNT-10-measure-element]
Number of instances where a callable or method control element is part of two architectural layers

**Description**
[key ASCMM-MNT-10-description]
This pattern identifies situations where the `<ControlElement>` callable or method control element (code:`ControlElement`) is part of both `<Layer1>` and `<Layer2>` architectural layers (structure:`Layer`)

**Descriptor**
[key ASCMM-MNT-10-descriptor]
ASCMM-MNT-10(`ControlElement`: controlElement,`Layer1`: layer1, `Layer2`: layer2)

**Variable input**
[key ASCMM-MNT-10-input]
`<ArchitectureModel>` defining the application's architectural blueprint.

**Comment**
(none applicable)

**List of Roles**
[key ASCMM-MNT-10-roles-controlElement] ControlElement
[key ASCMM-MNT-10-roles-layer1] Layer1
[key ASCMM-MNT-10-roles-layer2] Layer2

### 7.13 Pattern definition of ASCMM-MNT-11: Callable and Method Control Element Excessive Cyclomatic Complexity Value

**Pattern Category**
[key ASCMM-MNT-11-relatedPatts-maintainability] ASCMM_Maintainability

**Pattern Sections**

**Objective**
[key ASCMM-MNT-11-objective]
Avoid overly complex control flow

**Consequence**
[key ASCMM-MNT-11-consequence]
Software that does not follow the principles of structured programming degrades comprehensibility

**Measure Element**
[key ASCMM-MNT-11-measure-element]
Number of instances where a named callable control element or method control element has a control flow with a Cyclomatic Complexity number that exceeds a threshold value. Default threshold value for Cyclomatic Complexity is 20

**Description**

This pattern identifies situations where the `<ControlElement>` named callable control element (code:CallableUnit with code:CallableKind 'regular', 'external' or 'stored') or method control element (code:MethodUnit) has a control flow (action:ControlFlow) with a `<CyclomaticComplexityValue>` Cyclomatic Complexity which is greater than the `<CyclomaticComplexityThresholdValue>` threshold value. Default value for `<CyclomaticComplexityThresholdValue>` is 20.

**Descriptor**

ASCM-MNT-11(ControlElement: controlElement, CyclomaticComplexity: cyclomaticComplexity, CyclomaticComplexityThresholdValue: cyclomaticComplexityThresholdValue)

**Variable input**

`<CyclomaticComplexityThresholdValue>` maximum value of distinct path through the control element

**Comment**

(none applicable)

**List of Roles**

- ControlElement
- CyclomaticComplexity
- CyclomaticComplexityThresholdValue

7.14 Pattern definition of ASCMM-MNT-12: Named Callable and Method Control Element with Layer-skipping Call

**Pattern Category**

ASCM_Maintainability

**Pattern Sections**

**Objective**

Avoid breaches of layered architecture principles due to layer-skipping references
Consequence
[Key ASCMM-MNT-12-consequence]
Software that does not follow the principles of layered architectures (such as strict partitioning and strict call hierarchy) decreases comprehensibility as well as simplicity to evolve the code.

Measure Element
[Key ASCMM-MNT-12-measure-element]
Number of instances where a named callable or method control element from a higher horizontal layer directly calls a named callable or method control element in a lower horizontal layer that is not adjacent to the upper layer making the call, as defined in a model of the application's architectural layers (this excludes the vertical utility layers that can be referenced from any horizontal layer).

Description
[Key ASCMM-MNT-12-description]
This pattern identifies situations where the <CallerObject> named callable and method control elements (code:MethodUnit or code:CallableUnit with code:CallableKind 'regular', 'external' or 'stored') from the <HigherLayer> higher horizontal layer (structure:Layer) directly calls (action:CallableRelations) the <CalleeObject> named callable or method control element from the <LowerLayer> lower horizontal layer (structure:Layer), while the <LowerLayer> layer is not the next lower layer to the <UpperLayer> layer, as defined in the <ArchitectureModel> model of the architectural layers; this excludes the vertical utility layers that can be referenced from any horizontal layers.

Descriptor
[Key ASCMM-MNT-12-descriptor]

Variable input
[Key ASCMM-MNT-12-input]
<ArchitectureModel> defining the application's architectural blueprint.

Comment
(none applicable)

List of Roles
[Key ASCMM-MNT-12-roles-callerObject] CallerObject
[Key ASCMM-MNT-12-roles-higherLayer] HigherLayer
[Key ASCMM-MNT-12-roles-calleeObject] CalleeObject
[Key ASCMM-MNT-12-roles-lowerLayer] LowerLayer
[Key ASCMM-MNT-12-roles-architectureModel] ArchitectureModel

7.15 Pattern definition of ASCMM-MNT-13: Callable and Method Control Element Excessive Number of Parameters
Pattern Category
[Key ASCMM-MNT-13-relatedPatts-maintainability] ASCMM_Maintainability

Pattern Sections

Objective
[Key ASCMM-MNT-13-objective]
Avoid over-parameterization

Consequence
[Key ASCMM-MNT-13-consequence]
Software that does not cap the number of parameters degrades comprehensibility

Measure Element
[Key ASCMM-MNT-13-measure-element]
Number of instances where a named callable control element or method control element has a number of parameters in its signature that exceeds a threshold value. Default threshold value for the number of parameters is 7.

Description
[Key ASCMM-MNT-13-description]
This pattern identifies situations where the <ControlElement> named callable control element (code:CallableUnit with code:CallableKind 'regular', 'external' or 'stored') or method control element (code:MethodUnit) has <ParameterNumber> parameters (code:ParameterUnit with ParameterKind 'byValue', 'byName', 'byReference', or 'variadic') in its signature (code:Signature) which is greater than the <ParameterNumberThreshold> threshold value. Default value for the <ParameterNumberThreshold> is 7.

Descriptor
[Key ASCMM-MNT-13-descriptor]
ASCMM-MNT-13(ControlElement: controlElement,ParameterNumber: parameterNumber, ParameterNumberThreshold: parameterNumberThreshold)

Variable input
[Key ASCMM-MNT-13-input]
<ParameterNumberThreshold> maximum value of parameters in signature

Comment
(none applicable)

List of Roles
[Key ASCMM-MNT-13-roles-controlElement] ControlElement
[Key ASCMM-MNT-13-roles-parameterNumber] ParameterNumber
[Key ASCMM-MNT-13-roles-parameterNumberThreshold] ParameterNumberThreshold
7.16 Pattern definition of ASCMM-MNT-14: Callable and Method Control Element Excessive Number of Control Elements involving Data Element from Data Manager or File Resource

**Pattern Category**
[key ASCMM-MNT-14-relatedPatts-maintainability] ASCMM_Maintainability

**Pattern Sections**

**Objective**
[key ASCMM-MNT-14-objective] Avoid the existence of control elements with too many data operations

**Consequence**
[key ASCMM-MNT-14-consequence] Software that does not cap the number of data operations degrades comprehensibility by requiring the understanding of too many external data structures

**Measure Element**
[key ASCMM-MNT-14-measure-element] Number of instances where a named callable control element or method control element has a number of operations involving a data manager or a file resource that exceeds a threshold value. Default threshold value for the number of data operations is 7

**Description**
[key ASCMM-MNT-14-description] This pattern identifies situations where the <ControlElement> named callable and method control elements (code:MethodUnit or code:CallableUnit with code:CallableKind 'regular', 'external' or 'stored') has too many control elements involving a data manager (platform:DataManager) or a file resource (platform:FileResource), based on its <NumberOfDataOperations> number of such control elements, which exceeds the <NumberOfDataOperationsThresholdValue> threshold value. Default value for <NumberOfDataOperationsThresholdValue> is 7.

**Descriptor**
[key ASCMM-MNT-14-descriptor] ASCMM-MNT-14(ControlElement: controlElement,NumberOfDataOperations: numberOfDataOperations, NumberOfDataOperationsThresholdValue: numberOfDataOperationsThresholdValue)

**Variable input**
[key ASCMM-MNT-14-input] <NumberOfDataOperationsThresholdValue> maximum value of data operation in control element
Comment
(none applicable)

List of Roles
[key ASCMM-MNT-14-roles-controlElement] ControlElement
[key ASCMM-MNT-14-roles-numberOfDataOperations] NumberOfDataOperations
[key ASCMM-MNT-14-roles-numberOfDataOperationsThresholdValue] NumberOfDataOperationsThresholdValue

7.17 Pattern definition of ASCMM-MNT-15: Public Member Element

Pattern Category
[key ASCMM-MNT-15-relatedPatts-maintainability] ASCMM_Maintainability

Pattern Sections

Objective
[key ASCMM-MNT-15-objective]
Avoid openly accessible data elements

Consequence
[key ASCMM-MNT-15-consequence]
Software that does not follow the principles of data encapsulation incurs the risk of data corruption

Measure Element
[key ASCMM-MNT-15-measure-element]
Number of instances where a storable data element or member data element is declared as public through a Create action

Description
[key ASCMM-MNT-15-description]
This pattern identifies situations where the <PublicDataElement> storable data element (code:StorableUnit) or member data element (code:MemberUnit) is declared as public (code:ExportKind 'public') through the <DataElementDeclarationStatement> Create action (action:Creates).

Descriptor
[key ASCMM-MNT-15-descriptor]
ASCMM-MNT-15(PublicDataElement: publicDataElement,DataElementDeclarationStatement: dataElementDeclarationStatement)

Variable input
(none applicable)

Comment
(none applicable)
List of Roles
[key ASCMM-MNT-15-roles-publicDataElement] PublicDataElement

7.18 Pattern definition of ASCMM-MNT-16: Method Control Element Usage of Member Element from other Class Element

Pattern Category
[key ASCMM-MNT-16-relatedPatts-maintainability] ASCMM_Maintainability

Pattern Sections

Objective
[key ASCMM-MNT-16-objective]
Avoid direct access to data elements of another entity

Consequence
[key ASCMM-MNT-16-consequence]
Software that does not follow the principles of data encapsulation incurs the risk of data corruption

Measure Element
[key ASCMM-MNT-16-measure-element]
Number of instances where a method control element from a class element accesses a member element from another class element

Description
[key ASCMM-MNT-16-description]
This pattern identifies situations where the <Method> method control element (code:MethodUnit) from <Class1> class element (code:StorableUnit of code:DataType code:ClassUnit) accesses (action:DataRelations) the <Field> member element (code:MemberUnit) from <Class2> class element.

Descriptor
[key ASCMM-MNT-16-descriptor]
ASCMM-MNT-16(Class1: class1,Class2: class2, Field: field)

Variable input
(none applicable)

Comment
(none applicable)

List of Roles
[key ASCMM-MNT-16-roles-class1] Class1
[key ASCMM-MNT-16-roles-class2] Class2
7.19 Pattern definition of ASCMM-MNT-17: Class Element Excessive Inheritance Level

Pattern Category
ASCMM-MNT-17-relatedPatts-maintainability ASCMM_Maintainability

Pattern Sections

Objective
Avoid overly complex object-oriented inheritance capabilities when dealing with the number of levels of inheritance of classes

Consequence
Software that does not follow the principles of reuse requires more maintenance effort in order to propagate changes to all instances of duplicated code

Measure Element
Number of instances where the inheritance level of a class element (that is, the number of parent class units) exceeds a threshold value. The default threshold value for number of inheritance levels is 7

Description
This pattern identifies situations where the inheritance level (number of level of code:Extends relations) of the <Class> class element (code:StorableUnit with code:DataType code:ClassUnit) is considered as too large, based on its <NumberOfInheritanceLevels> number of parent class units which exceeds the <NumberOfInheritanceLevelsThresholdValue> threshold value. The default value for <NumberOfInheritanceLevelsThresholdValue> is 7.

Descriptor
ASCMM-MNT-17(Class: class,NumberOfInheritanceLevels: numberOfInheritanceLevels, NumberOfInheritanceLevelsThresholdValue: numberOfInheritanceLevelsThresholdValue)

Variable input
<NumberOfInheritanceLevelsThresholdValue> maximum value

Comment
(none applicable)
List of Roles
[key ASCMM-MNT-17-roles-class] Class
[key ASCMM-MNT-17-roles-numberOfInheritanceLevels] NumberOfInheritanceLevels
[key ASCMM-MNT-17-roles-numberOfInheritanceLevelsThresholdValue] NumberOfInheritanceLevelsThresholdValue

7.20 Pattern definition of ASCMM-MNT-18: Class Element Excessive Number of Children

Pattern Category
[key ASCMM-MNT-18-relatedPatts-maintainability] ASCMM_Maintainability

Pattern Sections

Objective
[key ASCMM-MNT-18-objective] Avoid overly complex object-oriented inheritance capabilities when dealing with the number of direct children of classes

Consequence
[key ASCMM-MNT-18-consequence] Software that does not follow the principles of reuse requires more maintenance effort in order to propagate changes to all instances of duplicated code

Measure Element
[key ASCMM-MNT-18-measure-element] Number of instances where the number of children of a class element (that is, its number of child classes) exceeds a threshold value. The default threshold value for number of children of a class element is 10

Description
[key ASCMM-MNT-18-description] This pattern identifies situations where the number of children (code:StorableUnit of code:DataType code:ClassUnit with direct code:Extends relation) of the <Class> class element (code:StorableUnit of code:DataType code:ClassUnit) is considered as too large, based on its <NumberOfChildren> number of child classes which exceeds the <NumberOfChildrenThresholdValue> threshold value. The default value for <NumberOfChildrenThresholdValue> is 10.

Descriptor
[key ASCMM-MNT-18-descriptor] ASCMM-MNT-18(Class: class,NumberofChildren: numberOfChildren, NumberofChildrenThresholdValue: numberOfChildrenThresholdValue)
Variable input
[key ASCMM-MNT-18-input]
<NumberOfChildrenThresholdValue> maximum value

Comment
(none applicable)

List of Roles
[key ASCMM-MNT-18-roles-class] Class
[key ASCMM-MNT-18-roles-numberOfChildren] NumberOfChildren
[key ASCMM-MNT-18-roles-numberOfChildrenThresholdValue] NumberOfChildrenThresholdValue

7.21 Pattern definition of ASCMM-MNT-19: Named Callable and Method Control Element Excessive Similarity

Pattern Category
[key ASCMM-MNT-19-relatedPatts-maintainability] ASCMM_Maintainability

Pattern Sections

Objective
[key ASCMM-MNT-19-objective]
Avoid software element redundancy

Consequence
[key ASCMM-MNT-19-consequence]
Software that does not follow the principles of reuse requires more maintenance effort in order to propagate changes to all instances of duplicated code

Measure Element
[key ASCMM-MNT-19-measure-element]
Number of instances where a named callable control element or method control element contains multiple computational objects that are identical to computational objects in another named callable or method control element in the application (the application determines the scope of the search for the second code item)

Description
[key ASCMM-MNT-19-description]
This pattern identifies situations where the <ControlElement1> named callable control element (code:CallableUnit with code:CallableKind 'regular', 'external' or 'stored') or method control element (code:MethodUnit) contains too many identical computational objects (code:ComputationalObject), based on the <NumberOfIdenticalTokens> number of identical computational objects with the <ControlElement2> named callable or method control element within the <Application> application; the <Application> application determines the scope of the search for the <ControlElement2> code item.
**Descriptor**
[key ASCMM-MNT-19-descriptor]
ASCMM-MNT-19(ControlElement1: controlElement1,ControlElement2: controlElement2,
NumberOfIdenticalTokens: numberOfIdenticalTokens, Application: application)

**Variable input**
(none applicable)

**Comment**
(none applicable)

**List of Roles**
[key ASCMM-MNT-19-roles-controlElement1] ControlElement1
[key ASCMM-MNT-19-roles-controlElement2] ControlElement2
[key ASCMM-MNT-19-roles-numberOfIdenticalTokens] NumberOfIdenticalTokens
[key ASCMM-MNT-19-roles-application] Application

### 7.22 Pattern definition of ASCMM-MNT-20: Unreachable Named Callable or Method Control Element

**Pattern Category**
[key ASCMM-MNT-20-relatedPatts-maintainability] ASCMM_Maintainability

**Pattern Sections**

**Objective**
[key ASCMM-MNT-20-objective]
Avoid inactive code blocks that can mistakenly be considered as active and that can hide the active code in noise

**Consequence**
[key ASCMM-MNT-20-consequence]
Software that does not follow the principles of reuse requires more maintenance effort in order to propagate changes to all instances of duplicated code

**Measure Element**
[key ASCMM-MNT-20-measure-element]
Number of instances where a named callable control element or method control element is unreferenced by any other code item in the application (the application determines the scope of the search for code items that could call a callable or method control element)

**Description**
[key ASCMM-MNT-20-description]
This pattern identifies situations where the <ControlElement> named callable control element (code:CallableUnit with code:CallableKind 'regular', 'external' or 'stored') or method control element (code:MethodUnit) is unreferenced (action:CallableRelations) by any other code item (code:Codeltem) in the <Application> application; the <Application> application determines the scope of the search for code items that could call the <ControlElement> callable or method control element.

**Descriptor**
[key ASCMM-MNT-20-descriptor]
ASCMM-MNT-20(ControlElement: controlElement,Application: application)

**Variable input**
(none applicable)

**Comment**
(none applicable)

**List of Roles**
[key ASCMM-MNT-20-roles-controlElement] ControlElement
[key ASCMM-MNT-20-roles-application] Application

8.1 Calculation of the Base Measure

A count of total violations of quality rules was selected as the best alternative for measurement. Software quality measures have frequently been scored at the component level and then aggregated to develop an overall score for the application. However, scoring at the component level was rejected because many critical violations of Maintainability quality rules cannot be isolated to a single component, but rather involve interactions among several components. Therefore, the Automated Source Code Maintainability Measure is computed as the sum of its 20 quality measure elements computed across the entire application.

The calculation of the Automated Source Code Maintainability Measure begins with determining the value of each of the 20 Maintainability measure elements. Each Maintainability measure element is measured as the total number of violations of its associated quality rule that are detected through automated analysis. Thus the value of each of the 20 Maintainability measure elements is represented as CISQ-MntME$_i$, where the range for $i$ runs from 1 to 20.

$$\text{CISQ-MntME}_i = \sum \text{all violations of type CISQ-MntME detected through automated analysis}$$

The value of the un-weighted and un-normalized Automated Source Code Maintainability Measure (CISQ-Mnt) is the sum of the values of the 20 Maintainability measure elements.

$$\text{CISQ-Mnt} = \sum_{i=1}^{20} \text{CISQ-MntME}_i$$

Higher values of CISQ-Mnt indicate a larger number of Maintainability-related defects in the application.

8.2 Functional Density of Maintainability Violations

In order to better compare Maintainability results among different applications, the Automated Source Code Maintainability Measure can be normalized by size to create a density measure. There are several size measures with which the density of Maintainability violations can be normalized, such as lines of code and function points. These size measures, if properly standardized, can be used for creating a density measure for use in benchmarking applications. However, the OMG Automated Function Points measure offers an automatable size measure that, as an OMG Supported Specification, is standardized, adapted from the International Function Point User Group’s (IFPUG) counting guidelines, and commercially supported. Although other size measures can be legitimately used to evaluate the density of Maintainability violations, the following density measure for Maintainability violations is derived from OMG supported specifications for Automated Function Points and the Automated Source Code Maintainability Measure. Thus, the functional density of Maintainability violations is a simple division expressed as follows.

$$\text{CISQ-Mnt-density} = \frac{\text{CISQ-Mnt}}{\text{AFP}}$$
9. Alternative Weighted Measures and Uses (Informative)

9.1 Additional Derived Measures
There are many additional weighting schemes that can be applied to the Automated Source Code Maintainability Measure or to the Maintainability measure elements that compose it. Table 3 presents several candidate weighted measures and their potential uses. However, these weighting schemes are not derived from any existing standards and are therefore not normative.

Table 3. Informative Weighting Schemes for Maintainability Measurement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weighting scheme</th>
<th>Potential uses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight each maintainability measure by its severity</td>
<td>Measuring risk of maintenance problems such as software that is difficult to understand or change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight each Maintainability measure element by its effort to fix</td>
<td>Measuring cost of ownership, estimating future corrective maintenance effort and costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight each module or application component by its density of Maintainability violations</td>
<td>Prioritizing modules or application components for corrective maintenance or replacement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10. References (Informative)


Appendix A: CISQ

The purpose of the Consortium for IT Software Quality (CISQ) is to develop specifications for automated measures of software quality characteristics taken on source code. These measures were designed to provide international standards for measuring software structural quality that can be used by IT organizations, IT service providers, and software vendors in contracting, developing, testing, accepting, and deploying IT software applications. Executives from the member companies that joined CISQ prioritized the quality characteristics of Reliability, Security, Performance Efficiency, and Maintainability to be developed as measurement specifications.

CISQ strives to maintain consistency with ISO/IEC standards to the extent possible, and in particular with the ISO/IEC 25000 series that replaces ISO/IEC 9126 and defines quality measures for software systems. In order to maintain consistency with the quality model presented in ISO/IEC 25010, software quality characteristics are defined for the purpose of this specification as attributes that can be measured from the static properties of software, and can be related to the dynamic properties of a computer system as affected by its software. However, the 25000 series, and in particular ISO/IEC 25023 which elaborates quality characteristic measures, does not define these measures at the source code level. Thus, this and other CISQ quality characteristic specifications supplement ISO/IEC 25023 by providing a deeper level of software measurement, one that is rooted in measuring software attributes in the source code.

Companies interested in joining CISQ held executive forums in Frankfurt, Germany; Arlington, VA; and Bangalore, India to set strategy and direction for the consortium. In these forums four quality characteristics were selected as the most important targets for automation—reliability, security, performance efficiency, and maintainability. These attributes cover four of the eight quality characteristics described in ISO/IEC 25010. Figure 1 displays the ISO/IEC 25010 software product quality model with the four software quality characteristics selected for automation by CISQ highlighted in orange. Each software quality characteristic is shown with the sub-characteristics that compose it.